

In claim 75, line 1, after "claim" delete "71 and" and insert  
83 --.

In claim 76, line 1, change the dependency from claim 71 to  
claim 83.

In claim 77, line 1, change the dependency from claim 71 to  
claim 83; in line 4, delete "and combinations thereof"; in line 14,  
delete "class" and insert -- group --.

In claim 78, line 1, change the dependency from claim 71 to  
claim 83.

#### REMARKS

In accordance with the above amendments, claims 59, 60, 65,  
and 71-73 have been cancelled and new claims 80-85 have been added.  
Claims 61-63, 66, 68, 69, and 74-78 have been amended.

Presently, claims 61-64, 66-70, 74-79, and 80-85 remain under  
consideration in this application. No claim has been allowed.

Numerous amendments have been made to the claims, including  
the rewriting of all three independent claims, not to modify the  
scope, but to overcome numerous rejections based on 35 U.S.C.  
§ 112. It is believed the meanings are now presently clear and all  
antecedents are properly in place.

With respect to the applicability of the Wang et al reference  
( U.S. Patent 5,195,969) applicant remains convinced that claims  
are supported by his original disclosure which predates the  
reference and that, therefore, the Wang et al reference cannot  
properly be applied to any of the present claims. For example, the

Examiner raises the issue of biaxial orientation of the inner layer in addition to the outer layer. Note, for example, the original specification at page 6, lines 13 and 14 wherein the biaxial orientation is indicated for the multi-layer parison as a result of the drawing and blow molding operations where "biaxial orientation takes place". Whereas certain attributes of the outer layer are expounded upon, the biaxial orientation of the inner layer is not denied. Furthermore, the melt bonding characteristics of the materials of the inner layer themselves, while not pointed out with particularity in the original application are known.

It further remains that the application of the Wang et al. reference notwithstanding, the present claims are believed patentable over the combination of references applied by the Examiner. The combination of high burst strength and easy catheter adherence in a multi-layer parison system as in the present invention, it is believed, are not rendered obvious by any combination of the prior art other than by being pieced together based on the disclosure of the present application and using hindsight. This is particularly manifested by the absence in the prior art of any recognition of the combined adhesion problems recognized and solved by the present invention. Thus, whereas the art recognizes certain properties as being attributes of various materials, it does not recognize nor address the problems solved by the combined multi-layer parison system of the present invention. Absent the motivating factors pointed out only in the present

specification, it is submitted that no one would be led to the applicant's solution. Other particular differences have been previously poinnted out, and these remain viable.

Given the above amendments, applicant believes that the present claims not only meet all language requirements, but patentably distinguish over any combination of prior patents known to him applied either singularly or in combination and while he believes that the Wang et al reference should not be applied, he believes his claims distinguish in any event and reconsideration and allowance of the claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

HAUGEN AND NIKOLAI, P.A.



C. G. Mersereau  
Registration No. 26205  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)  
820 International Centre  
900 Second Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 339-7461

Dated: October 30, 1995